





Duke QCD Group: Progress and Plans

- Heavy Quark Dynamics:
 - Langevin with Radiation
 - HQ Correlations
- Bulk Evolution Models
- Model to Data Comparison

Group Members:

- Steffen A. Bass
- Jonah Bernhard
- Shanshan Cao
- Chis Coleman-Smith
- Scott E. Moreland
- Marlene Nahrgang

Collaborators:

- Uli Heinz
- Guang-You Qin
- Chun Shen





Heavy Quarks in a RFD Medium: Langevin+Radiation





Current State-of-the-Art:

 Langevin for HQ + coalescence & fragmentation for hadronization + heavy meson diffusion in a hadron gas



From RHIC to LHC:

- Heavy Quarks now (partially) ultra-relativistic:
 - radiative energy-loss
 - In the fragmentation as dominant hadronization mechanism



HQ Initial Conditions

- Initial production: MC-Glauber for the position space and LO pQCD calculation (Combridge, 1979) for the momentum space
- Parton distribution functions: CTEQ5 (Lai, 2000)
- Nuclear shadowing effect: EPS09 (Eskola, 2009)



Significant shadowing effect for heavy quark production at low p_T (especially at the LHC energy) \rightarrow impact on R_{AA}



Langevin with Radiative Processes

modify Langevin Eqn. with force term due to gluon radiation:

$$\frac{d\vec{p}}{dt} = -\eta_D(p)\,\vec{p} + \vec{\xi} + \vec{f_g}$$

radiation force defined through rate of radiated gluon momenta: $\vec{f_g} = \frac{d\vec{p}}{dt}$

• same noise correlator and fluctuation-dissipation relation still hold: $\eta_D(p) = \frac{\kappa}{2TE} \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \xi^i(t) \, \xi^j(t') \rangle = \kappa \, \delta^{ij} \, \delta(t-t')$

• gluon radiation calculated in Higher Twist formalism:

$$\frac{dN_g}{dx\,dk_\perp^2\,dt} = \frac{2\alpha_s(k_\perp)}{\pi}\,P(x)\,\frac{\hat{q}}{k_\perp^4}\sin^2\left(\frac{t-t_i}{2\,\tau_f}\right)\,\left(\frac{k_\perp^2}{k_\perp^2+x^2\,M^2}\right)^4$$

Guo & Wang: *PRL 85, 3591* Majumder: *PRD 85, 014023* Zhang, Wang & Wang: *PRL 93, 072301*

• relevant transport coefficients are now:

$$D = \frac{t}{M\eta_D(0)} = \frac{2T^2}{\kappa} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{q} = 2 \kappa C_A / C_F$$

Radiative vs. Collisional Energy Loss

dominant mechanism depends on parton mass and energy:

- collisional energy-loss: heavy quarks at low momenta
- radiative energy loss: light quarks, gluons & heavy quarks at high momenta
- two-particle correlation observables as discriminators?







radiative term in Langevin Equation violates detailed balance:

- radiation should be suppressed for thermal momentum scale
- ▶ introduce low momentum cut-off for gluon radiation: p_{cut}=α3T
- vary parameter α to ensure proper HQ thermalization

thermalization analysis in Langevin+Radiation approach:

- system shows proper thermalization dynamics for α≈2
- note that τ_{therm} may depend on initial HQ momentum distribution
- for this particular set of parameters thermalization time: τ_{therm} is reduced from ≈35 fm/c to ≈25 fm/c (compared to standard Langevin)



Theoru E





HQ Hadronization:

Recombination + Fragmentation



Hadronization

QGP: Cooper-Frye Freeze-out (OSU iSS)

$$E\frac{dN}{d^3p} = \int_{\sigma} f(x,p) p^{\mu} d\sigma_{\mu}$$

- f(x,p): thermal distribution of soft hadrons
- σ : hypersurface of freeze-out

HQ: Fragmentation + Recombination

- most high momentum heavy quarks fragment into heavy mesons: use PYTHIA 6.4
- most low momentum heavy quarks hadronize to heavy mesons via recombination (coalescence) mechanism: use the instantaneous coalescence model (Y. Oh, TAMU 2009)



basic assumptions:

 at low p_t, the parton spectrum is thermal and HQs recombine with light quarks into hadrons locally "at an instant":

$$\frac{dN_{M}}{d^{3}P} = C_{M} \frac{V}{(2\pi)^{3}} \int \frac{d^{3}q}{(2\pi)^{3}} w \left(\frac{1}{2}P - q\right) w \left(\frac{1}{2}P + q\right) \left|\hat{\varphi}_{M}(q)\right|^{2}$$

 at high p_t, the parton spectrum is given by a pQCD power law, HQs suffer radiative energy loss and hadrons are formed via fragmentation of HQs:

$$E\frac{dN_{\rm h}}{d^3P} = \int d\Sigma \frac{P \cdot u}{(2\pi)^3} \int_0^1 \frac{dz}{z^2} \sum_{\alpha} w_{\alpha}(R, \frac{1}{z}P) D_{\alpha \to \rm h}(z)$$

- shape of spectrum determines if reco or fragmentation is more effective:
 - for thermal distribution recombination yield dominates fragmentation yield
 - vice versa for pQCD power law distribution





Hadronic Rescattering

heavy mesons hadronized from heavy quarks

soft hadrons emitted from the QGP

charm meson scattering cross sections: (Lin and Ko, 2001)

- pion and rho exchange
- Λ: cutoff parameter in hadron form factors
- consider resonance model as alternative (Rapp et al.)



UrQMD





EbE Heavy Quark and Bulk Dynamics







Comparison to Data



Comparison to Data: RAA



- Hadronic interaction further suppresses R_{AA} at large p_T but slightly enhances it at low p_T
- Good description of the experimental data



Comparison to Data: Elliptic Flow





- hadronic interaction enhances D meson v_2 by over 30%
- difference between the Glb to KLN initial condition for hydro leads to another 30% uncertainties in *D* meson v₂
- still under-estimate D meson v_2 as measured by ALICE



RHIC: D⁰ R_{AA} and v₂



v₂ significantly underpredicted:

 data in p_T domain still dominated by recombination as hadronization mechanism

- good agreement with RAA data
- shadowing in PDFs provides a degree of uncertainty





RHIC: D⁰ R_{AA} centrality dependence

Theory E



HQ Correlations

Angular HQ Correlations

assume back-to-back production of initial Q & Qbar with the same magnitude of momentum

angular correlation of the final state QQbar is sensitive to:

- momentum broadening of heavy quark
- degree of thermalization of heavy quarks
- coupling strength between heavy quarks and the QGP

Correlations: Elastic vs. Radiative Processes

- each energy loss mechanism alone can fit R_{AA} with certain accuracy and choice of diffusion coefficient, yet they display very different behavior in the angular correlation function
- experimental observation may discriminate between the energy loss mechanisms of heavy quarks inside the QGP

- initial HQ production: MCNLO + Herwig
- calculate angular correlation of final state ccbar pairs

- within each event, correlate each D with all Dbar's
- similar shape as direct ccbar correlation, but on top of a large background

viable signal with good sensitivity to HQ energy loss mechanism if experiments could measure D Dbar angular correlation functions!

Current Experiments: HF-Hadron Correlations

(e from c, b) - h correlation C

Calculation of D-hadron correlation:

(talk by Pereira at HP2013)

- peaks around 0 and π
- complication: collective flow of medium affects correlation function
- differences between various energy loss mechanisms depend on y and p_T cut (needs to be further investigated)

Next Steps

Choice of transport approach allows for study of HQ-medium interactions:

- Langevin+vRFD: sQGP + strong (non-perturbative) HQ-medium interaction
- linearized Boltzmann+vRFD: sQGP + pQCD driven HQ-medium interaction

(viscous) relativistic fluid dynamics:

- transport of macroscopic degrees of freedom
- based on conservation laws:

$$\partial_{\mu}T^{\mu\nu} = 0$$

$$T_{ik} = \varepsilon u_{i}u_{k} + P\left(\delta_{ik} + u_{i}u_{k}\right)$$

$$- \eta\left(\nabla_{i}u_{k} + \nabla_{k}u_{i} - \frac{2}{3}\delta_{ik}\nabla \cdot u\right)$$

$$+ \varsigma \delta_{ik}\nabla \cdot u$$

(plus an additional 9 eqns. for dissipative flows)

hybrid transport models:

- combine microscopic & macroscopic degrees of freedom
- current state of the art for RHIC modeling

diffusive transport models based on the Langevin Equation:

- transport of a system of microscopic particles in a thermal medium
- interactions contain a drag term related to the properties of the medium and a noise term representing random collisions

$$\vec{p}(t + \Delta t) = \vec{p}(t) - \frac{\kappa}{2T} \vec{v} \cdot \Delta t + \vec{\xi}(t) \Delta t$$

microscopic transport models based on the Boltzmann Equation:

- transport of a system of microscopic particles
- all interactions are based on binary scattering

$$\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{\vec{p}}{E} \times \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{r}}\right] f_1(\vec{p}, \vec{r}, t) = \sum_{processes} C(\vec{p}, \vec{r}, t)$$

verification & validation: energy loss vs. path length in infinite QGP medium

current implementation:

- pQCD matrix elements: cg→cg & cq→cq
- radiation with LPM effect
- infinite medium at fixed temperature
- fixed coupling (for comparison with analytic calculations)

next steps:

- running coupling
- thermal screening masses
- bottom production
- A+A collisions & comparison to data

optional:

linearized Boltzmann with realistic vRFD medium

challenges:

- range of applicability for PCM:
 - regularization of cross section: p_T cut-off or Debye screening mass?
 - bulk properties and collective flow

verification & validation: energy loss vs. path length in infinite QGP medium

Bulk Evolution Models

Hybrid vRFD+UrQMD Models

JET relevance: collaboration w/ OSU group on bulk dynamics model

Collaboration with OSU group on EbE VISHNU

- bulk evolution model for global model to data analysis
- multi-strange baryon production
- study of resonances, e.g. Φ meson

Collaboration with Nagoya group

- initial condition interface
- particalization hyper-surface sampler
- hadronic afterburner

Collaboration with Frankfurt group

- maintenance of UrQMD
- development of SMASH

Model to Data Comparison

 $p(v_2)$

10

Probing QCD in Heavy-Ion Collisions

Data:

Model to Data Comparison Effort @ Duke

Current Scope:

- focus on extraction of QCD bulk properties via model to data comparison of EbE vn distributions
- funded via NSF CDI award: MADAI Collaboration
- access to OSG resources provides unique capability for very large scale EbE vRFD+UrQMD studies
- first publications using EbE VISHNU later this year

JET Collaboration:

- apply same technique to constrain HQ transport properties simultaneously with bulk QCD properties
- can be extended to jet energy-loss transport coefficients as well
- useful in particular for complex dependencies
- extraction of temperaturedependence of q-hat?

Heavy Quark Dynamics:

- Langevin with Radiation
- HQ Correlations
- different models for bulk evolution and HQ-medium interaction

Bulk Evolution Models:

 continuing development and application of of hybrid vRFD+micro approach

Model to Data Comparison:

 new tools/capabilities that can be applied to quantities of key interest to JET collaboration

new postdoc: Jussi Auvinen (starts 9/2014)

strengthen hard probes expertise and capabilities

The End

The PCM is a microscopic transport model based on the Boltzmann Equation:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{\vec{p}}{E} \times \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{r}} \bigg] f_1(\vec{p}, \vec{r}, t) = \sum_{processes} C(\vec{p}, \vec{r}, t)$$

- · describes the full time-evolution of a system of quarks and gluons at high density & temperature
- · ideally suited for describing the interaction of jet with medium as well as the medium response
- classical trajectories in phase space (with relativistic kinematics)
- interaction criterion based on geometric interpretation of cross section:

$$d_{\min} \le \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_{\text{tot}}}{\pi}} \qquad \sigma_{\text{tot}} = \sum_{p_3, p_4} \int \frac{d\sigma(\sqrt{\hat{s}}; p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4)}{d\hat{t}} d\hat{t}$$

- system evolves through a sequence of binary (2↔2) elastic and inelastic scatterings of partons and initial and final state radiations within a leadinglogarithmic approximation (2→N)
- guiding scales:
 - initialization scale Q_0
 - IR divergence regularization: p_T cut-off p_0 or Debye-mass μ_D
 - intrinsic k_{T}
 - virtuality > μ_0

 radiative processes (full DGLAP evolution):

- contributions of medium flow vs. geometry
- •RFD initial conditions
- •C/B ratio when using non-photonic electrons
- •thermalization time of the medium

•...

Both geometric asymmetry and collective flow generate positive v_2 :

- decouple the influence of QGP collective flow on heavy quark motion by solving Langevin equation in the global c.m. frame, instead of the local rest-frame
- ▶ medium geometry dominates the high p_T region, while the collective flow has a significant impact in the low p_T region

Initial Conditions

KLN-CGC model exhibits a larger eccentricity of the medium:
 no apparent difference in R_{AA}, but significant larger v₂ from KLN-CGC initialization

Charm to Bottom Ratio

there still exists an uncertainty in the relative normalization of charm and bottom quark production in pQCD calculations:

 Choose two mixtures with b/c ratio around 1% in our simulation

- non-photonic electron spectrum follows c-decay electron behavior at low p_T , but b-decay at high p_T
- v₂ behavior varies with coupling strength and cannot be resolved by current experimental data

Backup: Bottom Quark Energy Loss

- Collisional energy loss dominates low energy region, while radiative dominates high energy region.
- Crossing point: around 17 GeV, much larger than charm quark because of heavier mass.

B-Meson Prediction

- similar behavior as with D mesons: collisional energy loss dominates for the low $p_{\rm T}$ region, while radiative dominates the high $p_{\rm T}$ region
- crossing point from collisional to radiative is significantly higher due to the much larger mass of bottom vs. charm quark
- \bullet B meson has larger R_{AA} and smaller v_2 than D meson